



On the one-sided exit problem for stable processes in random scenery

Fabienne Castell, Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Françoise Pene, Bruno Schapira

► To cite this version:

Fabienne Castell, Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Françoise Pene, Bruno Schapira. On the one-sided exit problem for stable processes in random scenery. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2013, pp.Vol. 18, No 33, 1–7. 10.1214/ECP.v18-2444 . hal-00753026

HAL Id: hal-00753026

<https://hal.science/hal-00753026>

Submitted on 16 Nov 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE ONE-SIDED EXIT PROBLEM FOR STABLE PROCESSES IN RANDOM SCENERY

FABIENNE CASTELL, NADINE GUILLOTIN-PLANTARD, FRANÇOISE PÈNE, AND BRUNO SCHAPIRA

ABSTRACT. We consider the one-sided exit problem for stable Lévy process in random scenery, that is the asymptotic behaviour for T large of the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \Delta_t \leq 1\right]$$

where

$$\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) dW(x).$$

Here $W = (W(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a two-sided standard real Brownian motion and $(L_t(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0}$ the local time of a stable Lévy process with index $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, independent from the process W . Our result confirms some physicists prediction by Redner and Majumdar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Random processes in random scenery are simple models of processes in disordered media with long-range correlations. These processes have been used in a wide variety of models in physics to study anomalous dispersion in layered random flows [13, 5], diffusion with random sources, or spin depolarization in random fields (we refer the reader to Le Doussal's review paper [11] for a discussion of these models). Let us also mention the fact that these processes are functional limits of random walks in random scenery [9, 6, 7, 4, 8]. The persistence properties of these models were studied by Redner [15, 16] and Majumdar [12]. The interested reader could refer to the recent survey paper [1] for a complete description of already known persistence probabilities and exponents. Supported by physical arguments, numerical simulations and comparison with the Fractional Brownian Motion, Redner and Majumdar conjectured the persistence exponents. In this paper we rigorously prove their conjecture up to logarithmic factors. Before stating our main result, we present the process we are interested in.

Let $W = (W(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a standard two-sided real Brownian motion and $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process with index $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ such that $Y_0 = 0$. More precisely, for some positive scale-parameter c , the characteristic function of the random variable Y_1 is given by

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta Y_1}] = \exp \left\{ -c|\theta|^\alpha \left(1 + i\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(\theta) \tan(\pi\alpha/2) \right) \right\}$$

where $\gamma \in [-1, 1]$. We will denote by $(L_t(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0}$ a continuous version with compact support of the local time of the process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The processes W and Y are defined on the same probability space and are assumed to be independent. We consider the **random process in random scenery** $(\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined as

$$\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) dW(x).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05; 60F17; 60G15; 60G18; 60K37.

Key words and phrases. Stable process, Random scenery, First passage time, One-sided barrier problem, One-sided exit problem, Survival exponent.

This research was supported by the french ANR project MEMEMO2 2010 BLAN 0125.

The process Δ is known to be a continuous δ -self-similar process with stationary increments, with

$$\delta := 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}.$$

This process can be seen as a mixture of Gaussian processes, but it is neither Gaussian nor Markovian. In this article, we study the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\mathcal{F}(T) := \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \Delta_t \leq 1 \right]$$

as $T \rightarrow +\infty$. Our main result is the following one.

Theorem 1. *For any $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, there exists a constant $c = c(\alpha) > 0$, such that for T large enough,*

$$T^{-1/(2\alpha)}(\log T)^{-c} \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \Delta_t \leq 1 \right] \leq T^{-1/(2\alpha)}(\log T)^{+c}.$$

2. LOWER BOUND

For a certain class of stochastic processes $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (to be specified below), Molchan [14] proved that the asymptotic behavior of

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} X_t \leq 1 \right]$$

is related to the quantity

$$I(T) := \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t} dt \right)^{-1} \right].$$

We refer to [2] where the relationship between both quantities is clearly explained as well as the heuristics.

Theorem 2 (Statement 1, [14]). *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous process, self-similar with index $H \in (0, 1)$, with stationary increments s.t. for every $\theta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |X_t| \right) \right] < +\infty.$$

Then, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t} dt \right)^{-1} \right] = HT^{-(1-H)} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{t \in [0, 1]} X_t \right] + o(1) \right).$$

By applying this result to our random process Δ we get

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \right] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) T^{-1/(2\alpha)} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Delta_t \right] + o(1) \right).$$

Proof. The process $(\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ being continuous, self-similar with index $\delta := 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}$, with stationary increments, it is enough to prove that for every $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |\Delta_t| \right) \right] < +\infty. \tag{1}$$

Let $\theta > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t|\right)\right] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\exp\left(\theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t|\right) \geq \lambda\right) d\lambda \\ &\leq 2 + \int_2^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| \geq \frac{\log(\lambda)}{\theta}\right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since the process $(\Delta_t)_t$ is symmetric,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| \geq \frac{\log(\lambda)}{\theta}\right) &\leq 2 \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} \Delta_t \geq \frac{\log(\lambda)}{\theta}\right) \\ &\leq 4 \mathbb{P}(\Delta_1 \geq (\log \lambda)/\theta), \end{aligned}$$

using the maximal inequality for the process Δ (see Theorem 2.1 in [10]). Moreover, from Theorem 5.1 in [10], there exist positive constants C and γ (depending on α) s.t. for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Delta_1 \geq \lambda) \leq C \exp(-\gamma \lambda^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}).$$

Since the function $\lambda \rightarrow \exp(-\gamma((\log \lambda)/\theta)^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)})$ is integrable at infinity for any $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and any $\theta > 0$, assertion (1) follows. \square

Aurzada's proof of the lower bound in the H -index Fractional Brownian Motion $(B_H(t))_{t \geq 0}$ case (see [2]) rests on both following arguments: the self-similarity of the FBM and the inequality (valid for a large enough)

$$(\mathbb{E}|B_H(t) - B_H(s)|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t - s|^H, \quad t, s \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

with $C(a) \leq ca^\nu$, for some c and $\nu > 0$. Our random process Δ being self-similar, it is enough to prove assertion (2) to derive the lower bound. The increments of the process Δ being stationary, by self-similarity, we have for every $t, s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a] &= |t - s|^{\delta a} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^a] \\ &\leq |t - s|^{\delta a} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^{2([a]+1)}]^{a/(2([a]+1))} \end{aligned}$$

Conditionally to the process Y , the random variable Δ_1 is centered Gaussian with variance

$$V_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1^2(x) dx.$$

From the independence of both processes Y and W and from the formula of the even moments of the centered reduced Gaussian law, we can derive the even moments of the random variable Δ_1 , namely, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1^{2m}] = \mathbb{E}[V_1^m] \frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!}.$$

First of all, from Stirling's formula, for m large enough, we have

$$\frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!} \leq C \cdot \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^m m^m.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^m] = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[V_1 \geq \lambda^{1/m}] d\lambda.$$

From Corollary 5.6 in [10], there exist positive constants C and ξ s.t. for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 \geq \lambda] \leq C e^{-\xi \lambda^\alpha}.$$

So, we have (the constant C may change from line to line but does not depend on $m \geq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[V_1^m] &\leq 2 + Cm \int_{2^{1/m}}^{+\infty} e^{-\xi\lambda^\alpha} \lambda^{m-1} d\lambda \\ &\leq Cm \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi\lambda^2} \lambda^{\frac{2m}{\alpha}} d\lambda \\ &\leq Cc^{m/\alpha} m^{m/\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C, c > 0$. It is now easy to derive (2) namely

$$(\mathbb{E}|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t - s|^\delta, \quad t, s \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

where $C(a) \leq ca^\nu$ with $\nu := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})$.

3. UPPER BOUND

As in [14] and [2], the main idea of the proof is to bound $I(T)$ from below by restricting the expectation to a well-chosen set of paths.

Observe that, conditionally to Y , $(\Delta_t)_t$ is a centered Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_t \Delta_s | (Y_t)_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) L_s(x) dx \geq 0.$$

We will use several times the fact that, due to this fact and to Slepian's lemma, for every $0 \leq u < v < w$ and every real numbers a, b , we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [u, v]} \Delta_t \leq a, \sup_{t \in [v, w]} \Delta_t \leq b \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \geq \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [u, v]} \Delta_t \leq a \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [v, w]} \Delta_t \leq b \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [u, v]} \Delta_t \leq a, \sup_{t \in [v, w]} (\Delta_t - \Delta_v) \leq b \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \geq \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [u, v]} \Delta_t \leq a \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [v, w]} (\Delta_t - \Delta_v) \leq b \middle| (Y_t)_t \right]. \quad (5)$$

Let $p > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. We define

$$a_T := (\log T)^p \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_T := \frac{a_T^{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{4\alpha^2}}}{(\log a_T)^\beta}.$$

For any $t > 0$, we write $|L_t|_2$ the random variable $(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t^2(x) dx)^{1/2}$. Let us consider the event

$$\mathcal{A}_T = \{|L_{a_T}|_2 \geq \beta_T\}.$$

Lemma 3. *For all $p > 0$ and all $\beta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_T^c] = O\left((\log a_T)^{-2\alpha\beta} a_T^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. First we notice that $|L_{a_T}|_2$ has the same distribution as $a_T^{1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}} |L_1|_2$ and that, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x) dx \leq |L_1|_2 \sqrt{S}, \quad \text{with } S := \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} Y_s - \inf_{s \in [0, 1]} Y_s.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_T^c] &= \mathbb{P}[|L_{a_T}|_2 < \beta_T] \\
&= \mathbb{P}[|L_1|_2 < a_T^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha^2}} (\log a_T)^{-\beta}] \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}[S > a_T^{\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}} (\log a_T)^{2\beta}] \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} Y_s > a_T^{\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}} (\log a_T)^{2\beta}/2] + \mathbb{P}[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} (-Y_s) > a_T^{\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}} (\log a_T)^{2\beta}/2] \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

and so, for T large enough, due to Theorem 4.a in [3], we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_T^c] = O(a_T^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} (\log a_T)^{-2\alpha\beta}).$$

(Remark that in the case $\gamma = 1$, from (8) in [3], the first probability in (6) is zero and Theorem 4.a [3] can be applied to the Lévy process $(-Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which is strictly stable with index α and $\gamma = -1$). The lemma follows. \square

Let us define the function

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t < a_T \\ 1 - \beta_T & a_T \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \middle| (Y_t)_t \right] \geq \left(\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt \right)^{-1} \mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [0, T], \Delta_t \leq \phi(t) \middle| (Y_t)_t].$$

When $p(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{4\alpha^2}) > 1$, it is easy to show that

$$\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt = \mathcal{O}(a_T).$$

By Slepian's lemma (see (4)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [0, T], \Delta_t \leq \phi(t) \middle| (Y_t)_t] &\geq \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [0, a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1 \middle| (Y_t)_t] \cdot \mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t \leq 1 - \beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that

$$\mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t \leq 1 - \beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t] \geq \mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T; \forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \middle| (Y_t)_t].$$

Conditionally to $(Y_t)_t$, the increments of the process $(\Delta_t)_t$ being Gaussian and positively correlated, by Slepian's lemma (see (5)), we get

$$\mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t \leq 1 - \beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t] \geq \mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t] \mathbb{P}[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \middle| (Y_t)_t].$$

Conditionally to $(Y_t)_t$, the random variable Δ_{a_T} is centered Gaussian with variance $|L_{a_T}|_2^2$ and so $\mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t] = F(-\frac{\beta_T}{|L_{a_T}|_2})$ where F is the distribution function of the Normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. On the event \mathcal{A}_T , we then have

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T \middle| (Y_t)_t] \geq F(-1).$$

Moreover,

$$\Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} = \int \tilde{L}_{t-a_T}(x - Y_{a_T}) dW(x)$$

where \tilde{L} is the local time of the process $(\tilde{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined as $\tilde{Y}_t := Y_{a_T+t} - Y_{a_T}$ for $t \geq 0$. Conditionally to $(Y_t)_t$, the processes $(\Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T})_{t \geq a_T}$ and $(\int \tilde{L}_{t-a_T}(x) dW(x))_{t \geq a_T}$ have the same

distribution and $\mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \mid (Y_t)_t\right]$ is therefore $(\tilde{Y}_t)_t$ -measurable. Finally, on the event \mathcal{A}_T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt}{F(-1)} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \mid (Y_t)_t \right] \geq \\ & \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1 \mid (Y_t)_t\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \mid (Y_t)_t\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Both probabilities in the right hand side are respectively measurable with respect to the σ -fields $\sigma(Y_s, s \leq a_T)$ and $\sigma(Y_{a_T+s} - Y_{a_T}, s \geq 0)$ (which are independent one from the other) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt}{F(-1)} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \right] \geq \\ & \geq \frac{\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt}{F(-1)} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_T} \right] \\ & \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1 \mid (Y_t)_t\right] \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_T} \right] \mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1\right] \\ & \geq \left(\mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1\right] - \mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{A}_T^c\right] \right) \mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, T - a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let c be the exponent appearing in the lower bound. We choose $\beta > c/(2\alpha)$ and p such that $p(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{4\alpha^2}) > 1$. Due to Lemma 3 and to the lower bound of $\mathcal{F}(T)$, the first term in the right hand side is larger than $C(\log a_T)^{-c} a_T^{-1/(2\alpha)}$ for T large enough. The second term is clearly larger than

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, T], \Delta_t \leq 1\right].$$

Therefore, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, T], \Delta_t \leq 1\right] \leq C(\log a_T)^c (a_T)^{1+\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt \right)^{-1} \right]$$

and the upper bound follows using the equivalent for $I(T)$ in Proposition 2.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aurzada, F.; Simon, T. *Persistence probabilities & exponents* (2012) Math arXiv:1203.6554.
- [2] Aurzada, F. *On the one-sided exit problem for fractional Brownian motion*. Electronic Communications in Probability 16 (2011), 392-404.
- [3] Bingham, N. H. *Maxima of sums of random variables and suprema of stable processes*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. (1973), 26, 273 – 296.
- [4] Bolthausen, E. *A central limit theorem for two-dimensional random walks in random sceneries*. Ann. Probab. **17** (1989), no. 1, 108 – 115.
- [5] Bouchaud, J. P.; Georges, A.; Koplik, J.; Provata, A.; Redner, S. *Superdiffusion in random velocity fields*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2503 – 2506.
- [6] Borodin, A. N. *A limit theorem for sums of independent random variables defined on a recurrent random walk*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **246** (1979), no. 4, 786 – 787.
- [7] Borodin, A. N. *Limit theorems for sums of independent random variables defined on a transient random walk*. Investigations in the theory of probability distributions, IV. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **85** (1979), 17 – 29, 237, 244.
- [8] Deligiannidis, G.; Utev, S., *An asymptotic variance of the self-intersections of random walks*, Sib. Math. J. (2011), Vol 52, No 4, 639 – 650.
- [9] Kesten, H.; Spitzer, F. *A limit theorem related to a new class of self-similar processes*. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **50** (1979), 5 – 25.

- [10] Khoshnevisan, D.; Lewis, T. M. *A law of iterated logarithm for stable processes in random scenery* Stoch. Proc. and Their Appli. (1998), 74, 89 – 121.
- [11] Le Doussal, P. *Diffusion in layered random flows, polymers, electrons in random potentials, and spin depolarization in random fields.* J. Statist. Phys. **69** (1992), no. 5-6, 917 – 954.
- [12] Majumdar, S. *Persistence of a particle in the Matheron - de Marsily velocity field.* Phys. Rev. E 68, 050101(R) (2003).
- [13] Matheron, G.; de Marsily G. *Is transport in porous media always diffusive? A counterexample.* Water Resources Res. **16** (1980), 901 – 907.
- [14] Molchan, G. M. *On the maximum of fractional Brownian motion.* (Russian) Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 44 (1999), no. 1, 111–115; translation in Theory Probab. Appl. 44 (2000), no. 1, 97 – 102.
- [15] Redner, S. *Invited Symposium Contribution: Superdiffusion in Random Velocity Fields.* Proceedings of the Bar-Ilan Conference in Condensed-Matter Physics, Physica A 168, 551 (1990).
- [16] Redner, S. *Survival Probability in a Random Velocity Field.* Phys. Rev., E56, 4967 (1997).

LATP, UMR CNRS 6632. CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE. AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ.
39, RUE JOLIOT CURIE. 13 453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13. FRANCE.

E-mail address: `castell@cmi.univ-mrs.fr`

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, CNRS UMR 5208, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1, 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `nadine.guillot@univ-lyon1.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ EUROPÉENNE DE BRETAGNE, UNIVERSITÉ DE BREST, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UMR CNRS 6205, 29238 BREST CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `francoise.pene@univ-brest.fr`

LATP, UMR CNRS 6632. CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE. AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ.
39, RUE JOLIOT CURIE. 13 453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13. FRANCE.

E-mail address: `bruno.schapira@latp.univ-mrs.fr`